ORIG

1	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 101 102	
2	Before the	
3	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554	
4		
5		
6	IN THE MATTER OF: MM DOCKET NO. 92-	201
7	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE DIRECTED AGAINST	
8	MARIO J. GABELLI AND GABELLI FUNDS, INC.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	DATE OF HEARING: October 27, 1992 VOLUME: 2	
25	PLACE OF HEARING: 2000 L Street, N.W. PAGES: 33-4	<u>.3</u>

1	Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	Washington, D.C. 20554
3)
4	In the matter of:
5	Order to Show Cause Directed Against)
6	MARIO J. GABELLI) Docket No. 92-201
7	and)
8	GABELLI FUNDS, INC.
9)
10	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing
11	pursuant to Notice before Judge Joseph Stirmer, Administrative Law Judge, at 2000 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., in
12	Courtroom No. 4, on Tuesday, October 27, 1992, at 10:00 a.m.
13	
14	APPEARANCES:
15	On behalf of Mario J. Gabelli/Gabelli Funds, Inc.:
16	Alan Y. Naftalin Koteen & Naftalin
17	1150 Connecticut Avenue Washington, D.C. 20036
18	On behalf of FCC Mass Media Bureau:
19	Larry A. Miller
20	Hearing Branch 2025 M Street, N.W.
21	Room 7212 Washington, D.C.
22	
23	
24	
25	

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

1	INDE	x	Page No
2			Page No.
3	Opening Statements By:		35
4	Judge Joseph Stirmer		33
5	Closing Statements By:		
6	Judge Joseph Stirmer		42
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			}
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	Hearing Began: 10:00 a.m.	Hearing Ended:	10:17 a.m.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE STIRMER: Good morning. This is the date
3	scheduled for commencement for hearing in Docket No. 92-201, a
4	Show Cause proceeding directed against Mario Gabelli and
5	Gabelli Funds, Inc. A prehearing conference was held on
6	September 9, 1992, at which time matters relating to a pending
7	interlocutory request were discussed, discovery was
8	considered, clarification of the designation or order was
9	undertaken, and we also discussed and adopted a hearing
10	schedule to govern the proceeding.
11	I'd like, at this time, to obtain the appearances
12	for Mario J. Gabelli and Gabelli Funds, Inc.
13	MR. NAFTALIN: Alan Naftalin of Koteen & Naftalin.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: And for Mass Media Bureau.
15	MR. MILLER: Larry A. Miller.
16	JUDGE STIRMER: Let me dispose of one preliminary
17	matter. At our last prehearing conference we had discussed
18	the personal interview that was called for in the designation
19	order. Now, was that accomplished?
20	MR. MILLER: Yes, it was, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE STIRMER: Would you, would you want to state
22	for the record when that was, was held?
23	MR. MILLER: Let me see if I have the date. Okay.
24	The personal interview involving representatives of Mario
25	Gabelli was were was held on September 21st, 1992, at

1 the Commission offices here in Washington, D.C., and there was -- we believe that, that met the requirements of the 2 Communications Act for hold -- allowing a personal interview 3 for the -- Mr. Gabelli. 4 JUDGE STIRMER: All right. I might state for the 5 6 record that yesterday afternoon I met with the parties to this proceeding at which time I was advised that an agreement is 7 8 close at hand to resolve this proceeding. And I believe the 9 parties need some additional time to finalize such agreement 10 and I suggested that we meet today because there are certain matters that I wanted to discuss on the record so that I'm 11 12 clear in my own mind precisely where we're going in this case. 13 First -- let me state -- or let me say or ask 14 whether this agreement that you all are contemplating, will 15 that have a recitation of the media interests that are 16 involved in this proceeding? 17 MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. There will be 18 attachments to the agreement, which list all of the inter --19 media interests of Gabelli -- when I say Gabelli I'm referring 20 to Mr. -- to Mario J. Gabelli and Gabelli Funds, Inc., all of 21 the attributable interest that, that Gabelli holds, and it 22 will also list interest that exceed the Commission's five 23 percent benchmark for attributable interest that -- but that 24 are nonattributable because of a single majority stockholder 25 or, or other --

1	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. That's, that's what I
2	wanted to ask. I think your agreement should recite all the
3	media interests. The ones that are attributable, the ones
4	that you agree are not attributable and the reason why you
5	agree they're not attributable. And they should certainly
6	include all of those interests that are recited in the
7	designation order so we account for each and every one of them
8	in some fashion.
9	MR. NAFTALIN: Your Honor, that sounds fine to me
10	with one reservation. We aren't going to list interest below
11	five percent.
12	JUDGE STIRMER: That's correct. All right.
13	MR. NAFTALIN: Okay.
14	JUDGE STIRMER: That's fine. Below five percent,
15	they're obviously not attributable.
16	MR. MILLER: Unless they happen to be a limited
17	partnership or
18	MR. NAFTALIN: Yes.
19	MR. MILLER: gotten into that but, but yes.
20	Anything that's potentially attributable we would we can
21	list.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: Okay. Now, it's my understanding
23	that the agreement, and I don't want to get into any specific
24	details of the agreement, will resolve this proceeding
25	entirely.

1	MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor, it's our, it's our
2	belief that the, the agreement will achieve the result that
3	the Commission was looking was that this Order to Show
4	Cause is designed to achieve in, in that Gabelli will agree to
5	come into compliance with the Commission's rules and, and
6	remain in compliance with the Commission's rules. And that is
7	primarily the reason that the Bureau has, has entered into
8	this because we feel that it is in the public interest and it
9	will achieve the goals of the, of the Order.
10	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Now, will this
11	agreement track the consent order procedures that are set
12	forth in the Commission's rules?
13	MR. MILLER: Is
14	JUDGE STIRMER: I mean so that it there are
15	certain recitations which
16	MR. MILLER: Yes, it does.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: should be made.
18	MR. MILLER: Yes.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Now, will there be some
20	provisions for monitoring compliance
21	MR. MILLER: Yes, there are
22	JUDGE STIRMER: in the future?
23	MR. MILLER: Yes, there are.
24	JUDGE STIRMER: Will there be provisions for
25	enforcement in the event that there is a violation of the

1	MR. MILLER: There yes, there will also be
2	provisions for enforcement, both of the, of the rules and of
3	the order.
4	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Because that's my main
5	concern. I don't want to be put in a position where I'm
6	presented with an agreement that I look at and find that it
7	may well be that in another year or two we're back again with
8	the same problem that we have now. I, I want to avoid that.
9	And I would hope that the agreement takes care of that so we
10	don't need another proceeding in the unlikely event that some
11	additional violations are committed.
12	MR. NAFTALIN: Could I just add one thing, Your
13	Honor?
14	JUDGE STIRMER: Certainly.
15	MR. NAFTALIN: This is not a consent order. It
16	does accomplish the purposes that are that you outlined and
17	it does track certain provisions in the consent order rules,
18	but it is not, itself, a consent order. However, I we both
19	agree that, assuming it's entered into, that it will
20	accomplish the purpose that the Commission wants that the
21	Bureau wants.
22	JUDGE STIRMER: Yeah. Well, as long as it
23	accomplishes the purposes intended by the institution of this
24	proceeding, I don't care what you call it what the force
25	and effect of it is that's important.

1	MR. NAFTALIN: Yeah.
2	JUDGE STIRMER: Now, there'll be an order issued at
3	the end of this thing adopting all of your agreements.
4	MR. NAFTALIN: Well, we certainly hope so, Your
5	Honor.
6	JUDGE STIRMER: So you, you may not call it a
7	consent order, but in practice that's what it might well be.
8	MR. NAFTALIN: That's a touchy point, Your Honor.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: I understand. All right. Now, how
10	much time do you think you'll need to incorporate all of these
11	matters? I know that you have done a great deal of work thus
12	far and you're pretty close to having a final document that
13	can be signed
14	MR. MILLER: We're very
15	JUDGE STIRMER: submit it.
16	MR. MILLER: We're very close to having a final
17	document to be signed and I think within a week we can, we can
18	wrap this up and, and have a submission for you to look at.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Again, I must, I must
20	tell you that I don't know what my position is going to be
21	until I see a final document before me.
22	MR. MILLER: We understand.
23	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. And then I may have
24	some suggestions perhaps or I may find that it meets all the
25	objectives that you all intended and that the Commission

1	intended and then I could approve it.
2	MR. NAFTALIN: Your Honor, what I would we're
3	asking that you put off the hearing, obviously, from today.
4	Perhaps instead of rescheduling the hearing for a week from
5	today, we could just set a deadline of a week from today to,
6	to have this submission to you. And if rather, you know,
7	that way we know we're working towards that date rather than
8	setting the hearing date for a week from today.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. So you want another
10	date by which the agreement should be submitted to me and then
11	once that's submitted I wouldn't put off setting a hearing
12	date until I have an opportunity to consider and act on the
13	agreement.
14	MR. MILLER: Is that, is that
15	MR. NAFTALIN: I think that's a very good idea,
16	Your Honor.
17	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. You think you can have
18	that agreement on file by next week? Tuesday or Wednesday?
19	MR. NAFTALIN: Yes.
20	MR. MILLER: I believe so.
21	MR. NAFTALIN: Your Honor, we'd like to submit it
22	to you, however, not file it. If, if it's not approved, then
23	everything is off. And we would have some considerations
24	about whether it's public. Once it's approved we recognize,
25	if it is, we recognize that it's a public document.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

1	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Let's that would be
2	you'd submit that to me next Tuesday. That would be when?
3	Does anyone have a calendar in front of them?
4	MR. NAFTALIN: I believe it's isn't that
5	Election Day? November 3rd?
6	MR. MILLER: Next Tuesday would be November 3rd.
7	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. All right. You will
8	submit the, the completed draft, signed, executed document to
9	me for my consideration on November 3rd and then you would
10	want me to tell you whether it was acceptable or not?
11	MR. NAFTALIN: That's our
12	JUDGE STIRMER: And if it is acceptable, you all
13	would file it and ask that it be approved? Is that the
14	procedure that you all are going to adopt?
15	MR. MILLER: I think that's fine.
16	MR. NAFTALIN: Yeah. That would be fine, Your
17	Honor.
18	MR. MILLER: That would be acceptable.
19	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. All right. Then I will
20	continue the hearing without date pending a submission next
21	Tuesday of your settlement agreement in this proceeding and
22	if, if I find the agreement to be satisfactory, I will
23	dispense with setting a hearing date and instruct you to file
24	the document as a formal pleading. If I find it to be
25	unacceptable, I will either schedule a hearing or convene a

1	further conference, at which time I will advise you all of my
2	concerns and what I would be believe to be shortcomings in the
3	settlement agreement.
4	All right. If there's nothing further, then, we
5	will stand in recess. I will continue the hearing pending the
6	submission of settlement document to me for my consideration
7	next Tuesday.
8	MR. MILLER: Okay.
9	JUDGE STIRMER: Is that agreeable, Mr. Naftalin?
10	MR. NAFTALIN: Yes, Your Honor
11	JUDGE STIRMER: Mr. Miller?
12	MR. MILLER: Yes, it is, Your Honor.
13	JUDGE STIRMER: All right. Thank you very much.
14	We will stand in recess.
15	(Whereupon, at 10:17 a.m., the hearing was
16	adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER, AND PROOFREADER

Order to Show Cause Directed Against Mario J. Gabelli and Gabelli Funds, Inc.			
Name of Hearing	v.		
92-201 MM Docket No.			
Washington, D.C. Place of Hearing			
October 27, 1992 Date of Hearing			
We, the undersigned, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages, numbers 33 through 43 , inclusive, are the true, accurate and complete transcript prepared from the reporting by Jeffrey Yeatman in attendance at the above identified hearing, in accordance with applicable provisions of the current Federal Communications Commission's professional verbatim reporting and transcription Statement of Work and have verified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing the typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearing and (2) comparing the final proofed typewritten transcript against the reporting or recording accomplished at the hearing or conference.			
<u>October 29, 1992</u> Date	Martha K. Conner, Transcriber		
11/2/92	Free State Reporting, Inc.		
Date	Linda McCabe , Proofreader Free State Reporting, Inc.		
10/30/92	Selling M. Yutun		
Dale	Jeffrey Yeatman, Reporter Free State Reporting, Inc.		